

A SIMPLE PROOF OF A MARTINGALE REPRESENTATION THEOREM USING NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. We give a proof of a Martingale Representation Theorem using the methods of nonstandard analysis.

We introduce the following spaces;

Definition 0.1. *Let $\nu \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, and set $\eta = 2^\nu$. Define;*

$$\overline{\Omega}_\eta = \{x \in {}^*\mathcal{R} : 0 \leq x < 1\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu = \{x \in {}^*\mathcal{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

We let \mathcal{C}_η consist of internal unions of the intervals $[\frac{i}{\eta}, \frac{i+1}{\eta})$, for $0 \leq i \leq \eta - 1$, and let \mathcal{D}_ν consist of internal unions $[\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu})$, for $0 \leq i \leq \nu - 1$, together with $\{1\}$

We define counting measures μ_η and λ_ν on \mathcal{C}_η and \mathcal{D}_ν respectively, by setting $\mu_\eta([\frac{i}{\eta}, \frac{i+1}{\eta})) = \frac{1}{\eta}$, $\lambda_\nu([\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]) = \frac{1}{\nu}$ and $\lambda_\nu(\{1\}) = 0$

We let $(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ and $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\nu, \lambda_\nu)$ be the resulting $$ -finite measure spaces, in the sense of [4], and let $(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, L(\mathcal{C}_\eta), L(\mu_\eta))$, $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu, L(\mathcal{D}_\nu), L(\lambda_\nu))$ be the associated Loeb spaces.*

We let $V(\mathcal{C}_\eta) = \{f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^\mathcal{C}, f(x) = f(\frac{[\eta x]}{\eta})\}$ and $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta) \subset V(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$ be the set of measurable functions $f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, with respect to \mathcal{C}_η , in the sense of [4]. Then $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$ is a $*$ -finite vector space over ${}^*\mathcal{C}$, of dimension η , ⁽¹⁾. Similarly, we let $V(\mathcal{D}_\nu) = \{f : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}, f(t) = f(\frac{[\nu t]}{\nu})\}$ and*

¹ By a $*$ -vector space, one means an internal set V , for which the operations $+$: $V \times V \rightarrow V$ of addition and scalar multiplication \cdot : ${}^*\mathcal{C} \times V \rightarrow V$ are internal. Such spaces have the property that $*$ -finite linear combinations ${}^*\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \cdot v_i$, $(*)$, for a $*$ -finite index set I , belong to V , by transfer of the corresponding standard result for vector spaces. We say that V is a $*$ -finite vector space, if there exists a $*$ -finite

$W(\mathcal{D}_\nu) \subset V(\mathcal{D}_\nu)$ be the set of measurable functions $f : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, with respect to \mathcal{D}_ν , in the sense of [4]. Then $W(\mathcal{D}_\nu)$ is a $*$ -finite vector space over ${}^*\mathcal{C}$, of dimension $\nu + 1$.

Definition 0.2. Given $n \in \mathcal{N}_{>0}$, we let $\Omega_n = \{m \in \mathcal{N} : 0 \leq m < 2^n\}$, and let C_n be the set of sequences of length n , consisting of 1's and -1 's. We let $\theta_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^n$ be the map which associates $m \in \Omega_n$ with its binary representation, and let $\phi_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow C_n$ be the composition $\phi_n = (\gamma \circ \theta_n)$, where, for $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{N}^n$, $\gamma(\bar{m}) = 2 \cdot \bar{m} - \bar{1}$. For $\nu \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, we let $\phi_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow C_\nu$ be the map, obtained by transfer of ϕ_n , which associates $i \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$, $0 \leq i < 2^\nu$, with an internal sequence of length ν , consisting of 1's and -1 's. Similarly, for $\eta = 2^\nu$, we let $\psi_\eta : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow C_\nu$ be defined by $\psi_\eta(x) = \phi_\nu([\eta x])$. For $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, we let $\omega_j : C_\nu \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ be the internal projection map onto the j 'th coordinate, and let $\omega_j : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ also denote the composition $(\omega_j \circ \psi_\eta)$, so that $\omega_j \in W(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$. By convention, we set $\omega_0 = 1$. For an internal sequence $\bar{t} \in C_\nu$, we let $\omega_{\bar{t}} : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ be the internal function defined by;

$$\omega_{\bar{t}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} \omega_j^{\frac{\bar{t}(j)+1}{2}}$$

Again, it is clear that $\omega_{\bar{t}} \in W(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$.

Lemma 0.3. The functions $\{\omega_j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}$ are $*$ -independent in the sense of [2], (Definition 19), in particular they are orthogonal with respect to the measure μ_η . Moreover, the functions $\{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in C_\nu\}$ form an orthogonal basis of $V(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, and, if $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$, $E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = 0$, and $\text{Var}_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = 1$, where, E_η and Var_η are the expectation and variance corresponding to the measure μ_η .

Proof. According to the definition, we need to verify that for an internal index set $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, and an internal tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$, where $s = |J|$;

$$\mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_1}(x) < \alpha_1, \dots, \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k, \dots, \omega_{j_s}(x) < \alpha_s)$$

index set I and elements $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ such that every $v \in V$ can be written as a combination $(*)$, and the elements $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ are independent, in the sense that if $(*) = 0$, then each $\lambda_i = 0$. It is clear, by transfer of the corresponding result for finite dimensional vector space over \mathcal{C} , that V has a well defined dimension given by $\text{Card}(I)$, see [5], even though V may be infinite dimensional, considered as a standard vector space.

$$= \prod_{k=1}^s \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k) (*)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that each $\alpha_{j_k} > -1$, as if some $\alpha_{j_k} \leq -1$, both sides of $(*)$ are equal to zero. Let $J' = \{j' \in J : -1 < \alpha_{j'} \leq 1\}$ and $J'' = \{j'' \in J : 1 < \alpha_{j''}\}$, so $J = J' \cup J''$. Then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_1}(x) < \alpha_1, \dots, \omega_{j_s}(x) < \alpha_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \text{Card}(z \in C_\nu : z(j') = -1 \text{ for } j' \in J', z(j'') \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ for } j'' \in J'') \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\nu} \text{Card}(z \in C_\nu : z(j') = -1 \text{ for } j' \in J') = \frac{2^{\nu-s'}}{2^\nu} = 2^{-s'} \end{aligned}$$

where $s' = \text{Card}(J')$. Moreover;

$$\prod_{k=1}^s \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k) = \prod_{j' \in J'} \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j'}(x) = -1) = 2^{-s'}$$

as $\mu_\eta(x : \omega_j(x) = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. Hence, $(*)$ is shown. That $*$ -independence implies orthogonality follows easily by transfer, from the corresponding fact, for finite measure spaces, that $E(X_{j_1}X_{j_2}) = E(X_{j_1})E(X_{j_2})$, for the standard expectation E and independent random variables $\{X_{j_1}, X_{j_2}\}$, $(**)$. Hence, by $(**)$;

$$E_\eta(\omega_{j_1}\omega_{j_2}) = E_\eta(\omega_{j_1})E_\eta(\omega_{j_2}) = 0, (j_1 \neq j_2) (***)$$

as clearly $E_\eta(\omega_j) = 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. If $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$, let $J' = \{j' : 1 \leq j' \leq \nu, \bar{t}(j') = 1\}$, then;

$$E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = E_\eta(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} \omega_j^{\frac{\bar{t}(j)+1}{2}}) = E_\eta(\prod_{j' \in J'} \omega_{j'}) = \prod_{j' \in J'} E_\eta(\omega_{j'}) = 0 (\#)$$

where, in $(\#)$, we have used the facts that $J' \neq \emptyset$ and internal, and a simple generalisation of $(***)$, by transfer from the corresponding fact for finite measure spaces. Hence, $1 = \omega_{\overline{-1}}$ is orthogonal to $\omega_{\bar{t}}$, for $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$. If $\bar{t}_1 \neq \bar{t}_2$ are both distinct from $\overline{-1}$, then, if $J_1 = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \bar{t}_1(j) = 1\}$ and $J_2 = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \bar{t}_2(j) = 1\}$, so $J_1 \neq J_2$ and $J_1, J_2 \neq \emptyset$, we have;

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}_1}\omega_{\bar{t}_2}) \\ &= E_\eta(\prod_{j \in J_1} \omega_j \cdot \prod_{j \in J_2} \omega_j) (\#\#) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E_\eta\left(\prod_{j \in (J_1 \setminus J_2)} \omega_j \cdot \prod_{j \in (J_2 \setminus J_1)} \omega_j\right) \text{ (\#\#\#)} \\
&= E_\eta\left(\prod_{j \in (J_1 \setminus J_2)} \omega_j\right) E_\eta\left(\prod_{j \in (J_2 \setminus J_1)} \omega_j\right) = 0 \text{ (\#\#\#\#)}
\end{aligned}$$

In (\#\#), we have used the definition of J_1 and J_2 , and in (\#\#\#), we have used the fact that $(J_1 \cup J_2) = (J_1 \cap J_2) \sqcup (J_1 \setminus J_2) \sqcup (J_2 \setminus J_1)$, and $\omega_j^2 = 1$, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. Finally, in (\#\#\#\#), we have used the facts that $(J_1 \setminus J_2)$ and $(J_2 \setminus J_1)$ are disjoint, and at least one of these sets is nonempty, the result of (\#) and a similar generalisation of (**). This shows that the functions $\{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in C_\nu\}$ are orthogonal, (**). That they form a basis for $V(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ follows immediately, by transfer, from (***) and the corresponding fact for finite dimensional vector spaces. The final calculation is left to the reader. \square

We require the following;

Definition 0.4. For $0 \leq l \leq \nu$, we define \sim'_l , on C_ν , to be the internal equivalence relation given by;

$$\bar{t}_1 \sim'_l \bar{t}_2 \text{ iff } \bar{t}_1(j) = \bar{t}_2(j) \text{ } (\forall j \leq l)$$

We extend this to an internal equivalence relation on $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$, which we denote by \sim_l ;

$$x_1 \sim_l x_2 \text{ iff } \psi_\eta(x_1) \sim_l \psi_\eta(x_2) \text{ } (*)$$

We let \mathcal{C}_η^l be the $*$ -finite algebra generated by the partition of $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$ into the 2^l equivalence classes with respect to $\sim_l, (*)$. As is easily verified, we have $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{l_1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\eta^{l_2}$, if $l_1 \leq l_2$, $\mathcal{C}_\eta^0 = \{\emptyset, \overline{\Omega}_\eta\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_\eta = \mathcal{C}_\eta^\nu$. For $0 \leq l \leq \nu$, we let $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l) \subseteq W(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$ be the set of measurable functions $f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, with respect to \mathcal{C}_η^l . We will refer to the collection $\{\mathcal{C}_\eta^l : 0 \leq l \leq \nu\}$ of $*$ -finite algebras, as the nonstandard filtration associated to $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$. We produce a standard filtration $\{\mathfrak{D}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$, (**), by following the method of [2], see Definition 7.14 of [5], (replacing the equivalence relation \sim there, by \sim_l , as given in (*), and being careful to use the index ν instead of η . Note that Lemma 7.15 of [5] still applies in this case.) We also require a slight modification of the construction of Brownian motion in [2]. Namely, we take;

$$\chi(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_i \right), \quad (2)$$

and $W(t, x) = \circ \chi(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta}$ (**).

One of the advantages of the non-standard approach to stochastic calculus, is that it allows one to show easily that every stochastic integral is a martingale. We follow the notation from Chapter 7 of [5], again using the filtration (**) of Definition 0.4 to replace the one from Definition 7.14, and its subsequent applications;

Theorem 0.5. *If $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$, and f is a 2-lifting of g , then $I(t, x)$, as in Definition 7.20 of [5], is equivalent, as a stochastic process, to a martingale, with respect to the filtration \mathfrak{D}_t , (3).*

² We adopt the convention that the sum is zero, when $t = 0$

³ By which I mean a function $I : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, such that;

(i). I is $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$ measurable (complete product).

(ii). I_t is measurable with respect to \mathfrak{D}_t , for $t \in [0, 1]$.

(iii). $E(|I_t|) < \infty$, for $t \in [0, 1]$.

(iv). $E(I_t | \mathfrak{D}_s) = I_s$, if $s < t$ belong to $[0, 1]$.

(v). For $C \subset \overline{\Omega_\eta}$, with $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$, and $x \in C$, the paths $\gamma_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, where $\gamma_x(t) = I(t, x)$, are continuous.

Most of this definition can be found in [7], see also [8] for a thorough discussion of discrete time martingales. We call a martingale tame if it satisfies the additional conditions that;

(vi). $I_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, L(\mu_\eta))$ and, for $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} (I_t^2 - I_s^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \leq C(t - s)$$

where $C \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$

(vii) (UI) For *a.a.s.*, $0 \leq s < 1$ and sufficiently small $h > 0$, $\frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h}$ is strongly uniformly integrable in the sense that there exists $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, with $f \geq 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ such that, for $K > 0$, $K \in \mathcal{R}$;

$$\int_{\frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h} > K} \frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h} dL(\mu_\eta) < f(K).$$

where $[I]$ denotes the quadratic variation of the process I .

Proof. Let I' be the modification of I , as given in the proof of Theorem 7.25 of [5]. Then I' and agree I on $[0, 1] \times C$, where $P(C) = 1$, and $P = L(\mu_\eta)$, so they are equivalent as stochastic processes. We show that I' is a martingale.

(i) follows from the fact that I is $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$ measurable, and $I = I'$ a.e $\mu \times L(\mu_\eta)$, (*). Here, completeness of the product is required.

(ii). By the construction in the proof of Theorem 7.25 of [5], I'_t is measurable with respect to $\mathfrak{D}'_t \subset \mathfrak{D}_t$.

(iii). We have, for $t \in [0, 1]$;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} I'^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) &= \int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} I^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} \circ F^2(t, x) d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq \circ \int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} F^2(t, x) d\mu_\eta \\ &= \circ \int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} \int_0^t f^2(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta = \|g\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta})}^2 \quad (\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

using (*), Definition 7.20, (see notation in Theorem 7.24), Theorem 3.16 and the proof of Theorem 7.22 in [5]. Hence $I'_t \in L^2(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, \mathcal{C}_\eta, P)$, so $I'_t \in L^1(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, \mathcal{C}_\eta, P)$, by Holder's inequality, see [6].

(iv). Suppose $s < t$. We first show that $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_s) = I'_s$, ($\dagger\dagger$). Suppose $i \in {}^* \mathcal{N}$, with $\frac{i}{\nu} \simeq s$, then we claim that $E(I'_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = I'_s$, (**). As $I_t = I'_t$ a.e P , we have $E(I'_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = E(I_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp})$. We can also see that $F_t \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$. This follows from the calculation (\dagger), Theorem 3.34(i) of [5], and the fact that;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} I^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) = \|g\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta})}^2$$

by Ito's isometry, as $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Hence, by Theorem 3.34(iv) of [5], $F_t \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, (***)). Applying Theorem 7.3(ii) of [5] and (***):

$$E(I_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = E(\circ F_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = \circ E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i)$$

We have;

$$E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) \frac{\omega_{j+1}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

by $*$ -independence of the sequence $\{\omega_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq [\nu t]+1}$. Letting $s' = \frac{i-1}{\nu}$, so $s' \simeq s$, $E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i) = F_{s'}$. We have, using Theorem 7.24 of [5], that $I_s = I_{s'}$ a.e P , so $I'_s = I_s = I_{s'}$ a.e P . As I'_s is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}$ -measurable, we have $E(I'_t | (\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = I'_s$, showing (**). As $\mathfrak{D}'_s \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}$, and I'_s is \mathfrak{D}'_s -measurable, we have $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_s) = I'_s$, showing (††).

If $A \in \mathfrak{D}_s$, then, by Lemma 7.15(i) of [5], $A \in \mathfrak{D}'_{s_1}$, for $s < s_1 < t$. As $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_{s_1}) = I'_{s_1}$, to show (iv), it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_A I'_s dL(\mu_\eta) = \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \int_A I'_{s_1} dL(\mu_\eta) \quad (\dagger\dagger\dagger)$$

To show (†††), observe that $\|I'_{s_1} - I'_s\|_2^2 \leq \|g_{[0, s_1]} - g_{[0, s]}\|_2^2$ by (†), where $g_{[0, s_1]}$ is obtained by truncating the function g to the interval $[0, s_1]$, ⁽⁴⁾. Using Holder's inequality and the DCT, we have $\lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \|I'_{s_1} - I'_s\|_1 \leq \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \|g_{[0, s_1]} - g_{[0, s]}\|_1 = 0$. Therefore, (†††) is shown. This proves (iv).

(v). This is Theorem 25 of [2].

□

We proceed to show the converse, that every martingale can be represented as a stochastic integral, using the nonstandard approach.

Lemma 0.6. *For $0 \leq l \leq \nu$, a basis of the $*$ -finite vector space $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l)$ is given by $D_l = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq l} B_m$, where, for $1 \leq m \leq \nu$, $B_m = \{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t}(m) = 1, \bar{t}(m') = -1, m < m' \leq \nu\}$, and $B_0 = \{\omega_{\bar{-1}}\}$.*

Proof. The case when $l = 0$ is clear as $\omega_{\bar{-1}} = 1$, and using the description of \mathcal{C}_η^0 in Definition 0.4. Using the observation (*) there, we have, for $1 \leq l \leq \nu$, that $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l)$ is a $*$ -finite vector space of dimension 2^l . Using Lemma 0.3, and the fact that $Card(D_l) = 2^l$, it is sufficient to show each $\omega_{\bar{t}} \in D_l$ is measurable with respect to \mathcal{C}_η^l . We have that, for $1 \leq j \leq l$, ω_j is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}_\eta^j \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\eta^l$. Hence, the result follows easily, by transfer of the result for finite measure spaces, that the product $X_{j_1} X_{j_2}$, of two measurable random variables X_{j_1} and X_{j_2} is measurable.

⁴Technically, you need to show that I_{s_1} is the non standard stochastic integral of $g_{[0, s_1]}$, and then apply Theorem 7.22 of [5], however, this is clear by truncating the corresponding lift of g .

□

Definition 0.7. We define a nonstandard martingale to be a $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function $Y : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, such that;

- (i). For $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$, $Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}$.
- (ii). $E_\eta(Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} | \mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}) = Y_{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}$, for $(0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1)$.
- (iii). $E_\eta(|Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}|)$ is finite.

We say that Y is S -continuous, if there exists $C \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ with $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$, such that for $x \in C$, $Y(t, x) \simeq Y(s, x)$, when $s \simeq t$, and each $Y(t, x)$ is near standard. We say that Y has infinitesimal increments if, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}_\eta$, and $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$, $t \neq 1$, $Y(\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor + 1, x) \simeq Y(\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor, x)$.

Lemma 0.8. Let $Y : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{R}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function, satisfying (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7, then;

$$Y_t(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x) \quad (*)$$

where $c_0 : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^0$ -measurable, $c_j : [\frac{j}{\nu}, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, and $c_0(s, x) = c_0(t, x)$, for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, $c_j(s, x) = c_j(t, x)$, for $\frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. Conversely, if $\{c_j : 0 \leq j \leq \nu\}$ is a collection of functions satisfying the above conditions, then the definition (*) produces a $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function, satisfying (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7.

Proof. Using (ii), we have that $E_\eta(Y_t) = E_\eta(Y_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^0) = Y_0$. Replacing Y_t by $Y_t - Y_0$, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $E_\eta(Y_t) = 0$, for $t \in {}^*[0, 1]$. By (i) and Lemma 0.6;

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x)$$

where;

$$c_j(t, x) = \sum_{a=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i_0 < \dots < i_a; 0}^{j-1} p_j^{(i_0, \dots, i_a)}(t) \omega_{i_0} \dots \omega_{i_a}(x)$$

Clearly, c_j is $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable. Again, using (ii), and the fact that $c_k(t, x) \omega_k$ is orthogonal to the basis $D_{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}$ of $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor})$, for $\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor < k \leq \lfloor \frac{\nu t}{\nu} \rfloor$, (†), we have;

$$\sum_{j=1}^{[\nu s]} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu s]} c_j(s, x) \omega_j(x)$$

Equating coefficients, and using the fact that D_j is a basis for $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq [\nu s]$, we obtain $c_j(s, x) = c_j(t, x)$, for all $\frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq t \leq 1$.

The converse is easy to check. (i) is obtained, observing that for $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$, all the functions $c_{j,t}$ and ω_j are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}$, for $0 \leq j \leq [\nu t]$. To obtain (ii), just take the conditional expectation of (*) and make the observation † again.

□

Lemma 0.9. *Let X be a martingale, see footnote 3 for the definition, with the extra condition that $X_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, then there exists a nonstandard martingale \overline{X} , see Definition 0.7, with ${}^\circ(\overline{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$, for $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$, and such that the sequence $\{\overline{X}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu\} \subset SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$. Moreover, \overline{X} is S -continuous, and we can take \overline{X} to have infinitesimal increments.*

Proof. By (i) of footnote 3, we have X is $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$ -measurable. We claim that $X \in L^1([0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, (*). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $X \geq 0$, (⁵) Then (*) follows from the fact that, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $E(X_t) = E(X_t | \mathfrak{D}_0) = X_0$, by (iv) of footnote 3, and so;

$$\int_{[0,1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta} X(t, x) d(L(\lambda_\nu) \times L(\mu_\eta)) = X_0 < \infty$$

by (iii) of footnote 3 and Fubini's theorem, see [6]. By the hypothesis that $X_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, and using Theorem 7 of [2], see also Theorems 3.31 and 3.34 of [5], we can find $\overline{V} \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, with $({}^\circ \overline{V}) = X_1$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$, (†). We now define $\overline{X} : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ by taking $\overline{X}(t, x) = (E_\eta(\overline{V} | \mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}))(x)$. We may assume that \overline{X} is $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ measurable, by the definition of $E_\eta(|)$, see footnote 25 of Chapter 7, [5], and

⁵ In order to see this, it is sufficient to show that X^+ is a martingale, (*). We have $X = X^+ - X^-$, and, by (iv), for $0 \leq t \leq 1$;

$$X_t = X_t^+ - X_t^- = E(X_1 | \mathfrak{D}_t) = E(X_1^+ - X_1^- | \mathfrak{D}_t) = Y_t - Y_t' (**)$$

where $Y_t = E(X_1^+ | \mathfrak{D}_t)$ and $Y_t' = E(X_1^- | \mathfrak{D}_t)$. It follows easily, modifying Y to Y^1 , and Y' to Y'^1 , a.e $L(\lambda_\nu) \times L(\mu_\eta)$, if necessary, and, using the tower law and definition of conditional expectations, see [8], that Y, Y' are martingales and $Y, Y' \geq 0$. We then have, by (**), that $X_t^+ = Y_t$ and $X_t^- = Y_t'$ a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$. Hence, (*) is shown.

transfer of the corresponding result for finite measure spaces. Then, by Theorem 7.3 of [5];

$$({}^\circ\bar{X})(t, x) = {}^\circ(E_\eta(\bar{V}|\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}))(x) = E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}) (**)$$

Moreover, if $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}$, we have;

$$\int_A X_{\circ t} dL(\mu_\eta) = \lim_{t' \rightarrow \circ t} \int_A X_{t'} dL(\mu_\eta) = \int_A X_1 dL(\mu_\eta) (***)$$

using $(iv), (v)$ of footnote 3 and the result of $(*)$ to apply the *DCT*. Hence, as $\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp} \subset \mathfrak{D}_{t'}$, for $0 \leq \circ t < t'$, using $(**)$ in Definition 0.4, we have;

$$E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}) = E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t}) = E(X_1|\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t}) = X_{\circ t}$$

by $(***)$, (\dagger) and (iv) of footnote 3. By $(**)$, we then have $({}^\circ\bar{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$. We now verify conditions $(i), (ii), (iii)$ of Definition 0.7. (i) is clear by Definition of \bar{X} and footnote 25 of Chapter 7, [5]. (ii) follows by transfer of the tower law for the conditional expectation $E_\eta(\cdot)$, see again footnote 25 of Chapter 7. (iii) follows immediately from the fact that $\bar{V} \in SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, and;

$$|E_\eta(\bar{X}_t)| = |E_\eta(\bar{V})| \leq E_\eta(|\bar{V}|) \leq \|\bar{V}\|_{SL^2} \simeq \|X_1\|_{L^2} < \infty \text{ (for } t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu)$$

by transfer of Holders inequality, the definition of $E_\eta(\cdot)$, and property (ii) in Definition 0.7. Finally, using Theorem 7.3 of [5], we have that the sequence $\{\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu\} \subset SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$. The S -continuity claim follows from the proof of Theorem 8.1 in [3]. We omit the details. For the final claim, we modify \bar{X} to obtain the final condition, while preserving the other properties. For $n \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$, we let;

$$V_n = \{x : \exists t(|\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)| \geq \frac{1}{n})\}, \text{ } ^6$$

By S -continuity of \bar{X} , we have that the internal set $A = \{n \in {}^*\mathcal{N} : \mu_\eta(V_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$ contains \mathcal{N} , hence, it contains an infinite element κ . For $x \in V_\kappa$, we let $\tau(x)$ be the first t such that $|\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)| \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$ and let

⁶We use the notation $\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)$ to denote the increment $\bar{X}(t + \frac{1}{\nu}, x) - \bar{X}(t, x)$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\nu}$

$\tau(x) = 1$ otherwise. We let \overline{W} be the internal process defined by;

$$\overline{W}_0 = \overline{X}_0$$

$$\Delta \overline{W}(x, t) = \Delta \overline{X}(x, t), \text{ if } t < \tau(x).$$

$$\Delta \overline{W}(x, t) = 0, \text{ if } t \geq \tau(x).$$

We claim that \overline{W} is a nonstandard martingale in the sense of Definition 0.7. For (i), by hyperfinite induction, and the fact that $\overline{W}_0 = \overline{X}_0$, it is sufficient to show that if $\overline{W}_{\frac{i-1}{\nu}}$ is measurable with respect to \mathcal{C}_η^{i-1} , then $\overline{W}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}$ is measurable with respect to \mathcal{C}_η^i , for $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, ($\dagger\dagger$). If $x \sim_i x'$, we have that $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x)$ iff $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$, as this is an internal definition depending only on information up to time $\frac{i}{\nu}$, hence must contain the equivalence class $[x]_{\sim_i}$. In this case, we have that $\overline{W}(x, \frac{i}{\nu}) = \overline{W}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu}) + \Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})$, which is constant on $[x]_{\sim_i}$, using the inductive hypothesis and measurability of \overline{X} . The case when $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x)$ is similar. Hence, ($\dagger\dagger$) and (i) are shown. For (ii), it is sufficient to show that if $x \in \overline{\Omega}_\eta$, then;

$$\int_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} \overline{W}_{\frac{i-1}{\nu}} d\mu_\eta = \int_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} \overline{W}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} d\mu_\eta, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \nu \text{ (}\dagger\dagger\dagger\text{)}$$

Clearly, if $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x]_{\sim_{i-1}}$, then $\Delta \overline{W}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} = 0$, and the result ($\dagger\dagger\dagger$) follows trivially. Similarly, if $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x]_{\sim_{i-1}}$, then $\overline{W}|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} \times [\frac{i-1}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]} = \overline{X}|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} \times [\frac{i-1}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]}$, and the result ($\dagger\dagger\dagger$) follows from the martingale property of \overline{X} . We can, therefore, write $[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} = [x_1]_{\sim_i} \cup [x_2]_{\sim_i}$, and assume that $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x_1]_{\sim_i}$, and $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$. If $\frac{i-2}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$, then the same must hold for all $x' \in [x_1]_{\sim_i}$, contradicting the assumption. Hence, we can also assume that $\frac{i-2}{\nu} < \tau(x')$, for all $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$. It follows that $|\Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x_1]_{\sim_i}}| \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$ and $|\Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x_2]_{\sim_i}}| > \frac{1}{\kappa}$, but this contradicts the martingale property ($\dagger\dagger\dagger$) for \overline{X} . Hence, this case can't happen, so ($\dagger\dagger\dagger$) and (ii) is shown. Property (iii) follows from the fact that $\overline{W}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, ($\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger$), which we show below, and the inequality;

$$E_\eta(|\overline{W}_{\frac{\nu t}{\nu}}|) \leq E_\eta(\overline{W}_{\frac{\nu t}{\nu}}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E_\eta(\overline{W}_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

which uses Cauchy-Schwartz, and the martingale property (ii). By construction \overline{W} has infinitesimal increments. As we are only modifying \overline{X} inside $V_\kappa \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$, where $L(\mu_\eta)(V_\kappa) = 0$ it is clear that S -continuity is preserved. Similarly, we must have that ${}^\circ(\overline{W}_t) = X_{\circ t}$, for $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$. It remains to show $(\dagger\dagger\dagger)$. By the above remark on modification, it is sufficient to show that $\int_{V_\kappa} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \simeq 0$. We can define a relation on $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$ by $x \sim x'$ if $x' \in [x]_{\tau(x)-1}$. If $x \sim x'$, then, by the above discussion, $\tau(x) = \tau(x')$, and so \sim defines an equivalence relation. We clearly have that $V_\kappa = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq r} [x_j]_{\sim}$ is an internal union of such equivalence classes. A simple calculation gives that;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_\kappa} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta &= {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\sim}} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\tau(x_j)-1}} \overline{X}_{\tau(x_j)-1}^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\tau(x_j)-1}} \overline{X}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= \int_{V_\kappa} \overline{X}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of \overline{W} , and the calculation of Theorem 12(ii) in [2]. This gives the result. \square

Lemma 0.10. *Let X be a tame martingale, and let \overline{X} be as in Lemma 0.9. Then we can find $\kappa \in {}^* \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ such that $\kappa|\nu$, and for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$;*

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\kappa}$$

where $C \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$ is as given in footnote 3. Moreover, we can find $D \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$, with $\mu_\eta(D) \simeq 1$, $E \subset \mathcal{T}_\nu$ with $\mu_\eta(E) \simeq 0$, such that for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E$;

$$1_D \kappa([\overline{X}]_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}} - [\overline{X}]_t) \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $n|\nu$, for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. If $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that $\{\overline{X}_t, \overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}\} \subset SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, hence $(\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$. We, therefore, have that;

$${}^\circ(\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} (\circ(\bar{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}})^2 - \circ(\bar{X}_t)^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \\
&= \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} (X_{\circ t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - X_{\circ t}^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \leq \frac{C}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} (\bar{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \bar{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{n}$$

As this holds for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and the property is internal, we can find an infinite $\kappa | \nu$, such that;

$$\int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} (\bar{X}_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}}^2 - \bar{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\kappa}$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$, as required, for the first part.

For the second condition, using Proposition 4.4.12 in [1], we can assume that there exists $C \subset \bar{\Omega}_\eta$, with $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$, such that $[\bar{X}]$ lifts the standard process $[X]$ on $C \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$. For ease of notation, for $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$, let $[\bar{X}]_{t,m}$ denote the increment $m([\bar{X}]_{t+\frac{1}{m}} - [\bar{X}]_t)$ and $[X]_{t,m}$ the corresponding standard increment, for $t \in [0, 1]$. We clearly have that $\circ[\bar{X}]_{t,m} = [X]_{\circ t,m}$ on $C \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$. Choose a sequence of $\{C_m : m \in \mathcal{N}\}$, with $C_m \subset C$, such that each $C_m \in \mathcal{C}_\eta$ and $\mu_\eta(C_m) = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$. As C_m is internal and $[\bar{X}]$ lifts X on $C_m \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$, by compactness, we must have that $[\bar{X}]$ is bounded on $C_m \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$, $|[\bar{X}]| \leq D(m)$, where $D(m) \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $V \subset [0, 1]$ be the set on which the incremental condition (vii) in Definition 3 does not hold. Then $L(\lambda_\nu)(st^{-1}(V)) = 0$, and we can choose $E_m \in \mathcal{C}_\nu$, with $\lambda_\nu(E_m) = \frac{1}{m}$, such that $E_m \supset st^{-1}(V)$. Then, we have that, for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_m$, for all $K \leq 2D(m)m$, that;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\circ \int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m} [\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&= \circ \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} 1_{([\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K) \cap C_m} [\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} 1_{([\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K) \cap C_m} [X]_{\circ t,m} dL\mu_\eta
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m} [\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&< \int_{[X]_{\circ t,m} > K-1} 1_{C_m} [X]_{\circ t,m} dL(\mu_\eta) + \frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \int_{[X]^{\circ}_{t,m} > K-1} [X]^{\circ}_{t,m} dL(\mu_\eta) + \frac{1}{m} \\
&= f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used condition (vii) in the definition from footnote 3. The condition (*) holds trivially when $K > 2D(m)m$, as then;

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m}[\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta = 0$$

It follows that;

$$*\mathcal{R} \models (\forall t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_m)(\forall K,)$$

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m}[\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta < f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{m}$$

for all sufficiently large $m \in \mathcal{N}$. By overflow, we can satisfy the condition for the same infinite $\kappa \in *\mathcal{N}$ as above. In particular, we obtain, for infinite K , $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_\kappa$ that;

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} > K} 1_{C_\kappa}[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} d\mu_\eta < f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 0$$

It follows, using the criterion in Lemma 3.19 of [5], that $1_{C_\kappa}[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_\kappa$ as required. Letting $D = C_\kappa E = E_\kappa$ and noting that $\mu_\eta(D) = 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 1$, $\mu_\eta(E) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 0$ we obtain the result.

□

Definition 0.11. Let \bar{X} be as in Definition 0.7, with $E_\eta(\bar{X}_0) = 0$, and let $\{c_j(t, x) : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}$ be given as in Lemma 0.8. Then we define;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{H} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C, \bar{Z} : \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C, \bar{Y} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\kappa \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C, \bar{W} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\kappa \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C, \\
&\{d_j(t, x) : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}, \bar{S} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C, \bar{Q} : \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *C \text{ by;}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{H}(t, x) = \sqrt{\nu} c_{[\nu t]+1}(s, x)$$

$$\text{where } s \geq \frac{[\nu t]+1}{\nu}, \text{ for } 0 \leq t < 1 \text{ and;}$$

$$\bar{H}(t, x) = 0, \text{ for } t = 1$$

$$\bar{Z}(x) = * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\bar{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \bar{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2$$

$$\bar{Y}(t, x) = 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq [\nu t] < \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1$$

$$\bar{Y}(t, x) = \frac{k}{\nu} (\bar{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]}{\nu}}^2 + \bar{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \bar{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2), \text{ for } \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1 \leq [\nu t] \leq 1$$

$$\bar{W} = \sqrt{\bar{Y}}$$

$$d_j(s, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \bar{W}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \text{ and } \frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq 1.$$

$$\bar{S}(t, x) = * \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} d_j(1, x) \omega_j$$

$$\bar{Q}(x) = * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\bar{S}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \bar{S}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2$$

Lemma 0.12. *If \bar{X} is as in Lemma 0.9, and X is tame, then $\bar{Y} \in SL^1(\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$, $\bar{Z} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ and \bar{S} is a nonstandard martingale, with $\bar{S}_1 \in SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$.*

Proof. The fact that $\bar{Z} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, (†), follows from Proposition 4.4.3 of [1] and the properties of \bar{X} . This does not require that \bar{X} is S -continuous or has infinitesimal increments.

For the last claim, it is easily seen that the functions $d_j : [\frac{j}{\nu}, 1] \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow * \mathcal{C}$ are $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. Hence, using Lemma 0.8, we have that \bar{S} satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7. By Proposition 4.4.3 of [1], it is sufficient to show that $\bar{Q} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, as $\bar{S}_0 = 0$. (explain why we can assume this?) We compute;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}(x) &= * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\bar{S}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \bar{S}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2 \\ &= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} d_j^2(1, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \bar{W}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \bar{Y}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{\frac{\nu}{\kappa}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-2} \frac{k}{\nu} (\bar{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 + \bar{H}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \bar{H}_{\frac{j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \bar{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta + r(x) \\ &= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} c_j^2(1, x) d\mu_\eta + r(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\bar{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \bar{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2 + r(x) \\
&= \bar{Z}(x) + r(x)
\end{aligned}$$

where $r(x) \geq 0$ is a remainder term. We have that $E_\eta(r(x)) \simeq 0$, and $r(x) \leq \bar{Z}(x)$. It follows, easily, that $r(x) \simeq 0$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$, $\bar{Q}(x) \simeq \bar{Z}(x)$ a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$, and $\bar{Q}(x) \in SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ as required.

For the first part, observe first that \bar{H} is progressively measurable, that is \bar{H}_t is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}$, hence, so is \bar{Y} .

By Lemma 3.19 of [5], it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_{\bar{Y} > K} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0, \text{ for } K \text{ infinite}$$

As \bar{Y} is progressively measurable, the set $\bar{Y} > K$ is progressively measurable. Moreover, it has infinitesimal measure. This clearly follows from showing that;

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta} \bar{Y} d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \text{ is finite, } (*)$$

To see (*), we compute;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta} \bar{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} \bar{Y}\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{\frac{\nu}{k}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} \bar{Y}\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{\frac{\nu}{k}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} \left(\frac{k}{\nu} (\bar{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 + \bar{H}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \bar{H}_{\frac{j-\frac{\nu}{k}+1}{\nu}}^2)\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} \bar{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} \nu |c_j(1, x)|^2 d\mu_\eta \quad (\dagger\dagger) \\
&= {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} |c_j(1, x)|^2 d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} |\bar{X}_1|^2 d\mu_\eta \quad (\dagger\dagger\dagger)
\end{aligned}$$

where, in ($\dagger\dagger$), we have used Definition 0.11, and, in ($\dagger\dagger\dagger$), we have used the fact that $\bar{X}_1 = {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} c_j(1, x) \omega_j$, by Lemma 0.8, and the

orthogonality observation (*) there. Hence, (*) is shown, by the assumption that $\overline{X}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that;

$\int_A \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0$, for a progressively measurable set A with $\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta(A) \simeq 0$. (**)

We now verify (**);

Case 1. Let $A \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$, with $\mu_\eta(A) \simeq 0$, then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A \times \overline{T}_\eta} \overline{Y} d\mu_\eta d\lambda_\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_A \overline{Y} d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_A \overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta \text{ (as above)} \\ &= \int_A * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} c_j(1, x)^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= \int_A * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\overline{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \overline{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 d\mu_\eta = \int_A \overline{Z} \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (†).

Case 2. Let $B \subset \overline{T}_\nu$, with $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$ and $\lambda_\nu(B) \simeq 0$. We can write $B = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s} I_j$, where I_j is an interval of the form $[\frac{i_j}{\nu}, \frac{i_j+1}{\nu})$, for some $0 \leq i_j \leq \nu-1$, and $\frac{s}{\nu} \simeq 0$. We compute, for $i_j \geq \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\frac{\kappa}{\nu} (\overline{H}_{\frac{i_j}{\nu}}^2 + \overline{H}_{\frac{i_j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \overline{H}_{\frac{i_j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2)) d\mu_\eta \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (c_{i_j+1}^2 + \dots + c_{i_j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+2}^2) d\mu_\eta \end{aligned}$$

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}(t, x) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq t\nu} c_j(1, x) \omega_j(x) \\ \overline{X}(t, x)^2 &= \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq t\nu} c_j(1, x) c_k(1, x) \omega_j(x) \omega_k(x) \text{ (\#)} \end{aligned}$$

Then;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_t)^2(x) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq [t\nu]} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} c_j(1, x) c_k(1, x) \omega_j \omega_k d\mu_\eta \text{ (using (\#))} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [t\nu]} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} c_j^2(1, x) d\mu_\eta \text{ (using Lemma 0.8) (\#\#)}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (c_{i_j+1}^2 + \dots + c_{i_j - \frac{\nu}{\kappa} + 2}^2) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1}{\nu}}^2 - \overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}{\nu}}^2) d\mu_\eta
\end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
& \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1}{\nu}}^2 - \overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}{\nu}}^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 0.10. We then have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times B} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
&= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{s(C+1)}{\nu} \simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Case 3. Let $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$, with $(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B) = \delta \simeq 0$. Let;

$$I = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq \nu, \mu_\eta(pr_\eta(B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}))) > \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$$

Let $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]$, so $C \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$, and let $B_1 = B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(C)$. As $B_1 \subset B$, and by construction of C , we have that;

$$\delta \geq (\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B_1) > \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_\nu(C)$$

It follows that $\lambda_\nu(C) < \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 0$. By Case 2, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_1} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
& \leq \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times C} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Let $B_2 = B \cap B_1^c$, then $B_2 \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ and $(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B_2) \simeq 0$, and to show Case 3, it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_{B_2} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0$$

We say that $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ is wide, if there exists $\epsilon \simeq 0$, with $\mu_\eta(pr_\eta(B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(t))) \leq \epsilon$, for $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$, and note that B_2 is wide. We are thus reduced to;

Case 4. Suppose B is progressively measurable and wide, and let;

$$I_j = \{i \in {}^*\mathcal{N} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, \text{rem}(2, i) = j, B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}) \neq \emptyset\}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$S_j = \bigcup_{i \in I_j} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$B_j = B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(S_j), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

Then $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq 1} B_j$, and each B_j is progressively measurable and wide. Let;

$$V_j = \{(i, s) \in {}^*\mathcal{N}^2 : 1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, 0 \leq s < 2^i, \text{rem}(2, s) = j, B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}) \neq \emptyset, B \cap pr_\eta^{-1}(\frac{s}{\eta}) \neq \emptyset\}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$W_j = \bigcup_{(i,s) \in V_j} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}) \times [\frac{s}{2^i}, \frac{s+1}{2^i}), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

By the progressive measurability of B , $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq 1} W_j$ and each W_j is progressively measurable and wide. Let $B_{ij} = B_i \cap W_j$, $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $0 \leq j \leq 1$. Then $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} B_{ij}$ and each B_{ij} is progressively measurable and wide. We say that $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ is separated if, for all $(t, x) \in B$, $(t + \frac{1}{\nu}) \notin pr_\nu(B)$, and $(t, \frac{[x2^{[t\nu]}]+1}{2^{[t\nu]}}) \notin B$, for $[t\nu] \geq 1$ and $0 \leq [x2^{[t\nu]}] \leq 2^{[t\nu]} - 2$. . By construction, each B_{ij} is separated, for $0 \leq i, j \leq 1$. We are thus reduced to;

Case 5. Suppose B is progressively measurable, wide and separated.

Observe that;

$$\kappa([\bar{X}]_t - [\bar{X}]_{t-\frac{1}{\kappa}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \kappa \left(\sum_{j=0}^{[\nu t]-1} (\bar{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \bar{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{[\nu(t-\frac{1}{\kappa})]-1} (\bar{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \bar{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 \right) \\
&= \kappa \left(\sum_{j=[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}^{[\nu t]-1} (c_{j+1})^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \left(\sum_{j=[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}^{[\nu t]-1} (\bar{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 \right) \\
&= \bar{Y}_{t-\frac{1}{\nu}}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 0.10, that there exists E' with $\mu_\eta(E') = 0$, such that $1_D \bar{Y}_t \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$, ($\dagger\dagger$) for all $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'$. We now compute;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_B \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&\leq \int_{B \cap (D^c \times \mathcal{T}_\nu)} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) + \int_{B \cap (\bar{\Omega}_\eta \times E')} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&+ \int_{B \cap (D \times \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E')} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&\simeq \int_{B \cap (D \times \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E')} \bar{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \text{ (by Cases 1,2)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'} \int_{\bar{\Omega}_\eta} 1_D \bar{Y}_t d\mu_\eta d\lambda_\nu \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'} g(t) d\lambda_\nu \text{ (where } g \simeq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E') \\
&\simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption ($\dagger\dagger$) and the fact that B is wide in the penultimate line. It follows that $\bar{Y} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta \times \mathcal{T}_\nu)$ as required.

□

Theorem 0.13. *Any tame martingale X is representable as a stochastic integral;*

$$X(t, x) = \int_0^t F(s, x) d\beta_s$$

where $F : [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \in L^2([0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta, L(\mu_\eta))$, and β_s is a Brownian motion.

Proof. By Lemma 0.9, there exists a nonstandard martingale \bar{X} , with ${}^\circ(\bar{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$, for $t \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$, a.e $L(\mu_\eta)$. Let notation be as in Definition 0.11. Then by Lemma 0.12, we have shown that $\bar{Y} \in SL^1(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta)$.

We have that $\bar{S} = \int \bar{W} d\chi$, where χ is Anderson's random walk, and, therefore, the quadratic variation;

$$[\bar{S}] = \bar{Q} = \int \bar{W}^2 dt.$$

We claim that;

$$\circ[\bar{S}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta) \quad (*)$$

where $f \in L^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta \times [0, 1])$. To see this, we first claim that $\bar{W}_x^2 \in SL^1(\mathcal{T}_\nu)$ a.e $dL(\mu_\eta)$, (**). Suppose not, then, using Theorem 9 of [2], there exists A with $L(\mu_\eta)(A) > 0$, such that;

$$\circ \int_0^1 \bar{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu > \int_0^1 \circ \bar{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu).$$

But then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \circ \int_A \int_0^1 \bar{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\ & \geq \int_A \circ \int_0^1 \bar{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu dL(\mu_\eta) \\ & > \int_A \int_0^1 \circ \bar{W}^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) dL(\mu_\eta) \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that $\bar{W} \in SL^2(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$. Hence, (**) is shown. Let $V_x(t) = \int_0^t \bar{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu$, for $t \in [0, 1]$. By (**), we have that;

$$\circ V_x(t) = \int_0^t \circ \bar{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu)$$

We claim that $\circ V_x$ is absolutely continuous, (***)). Suppose not, then there exist internal $B_n \subset \mathcal{T}_\nu$, with each B_n a finite union of intervals with real endpoints, such that $\lambda(B_n \cap [0, 1]) < \frac{1}{n}$, where λ is Lebesgue measure, and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$\int_{B_n} \circ \bar{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) > \epsilon$$

$$\text{Then } \circ \int_{B_n} \bar{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu \geq \int_{B_n} \circ \bar{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) > \epsilon$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_\nu(B_n) \simeq \lambda(B_n \cap [0, 1]) < \frac{1}{n}$$

as each B_n is a finite union of intervals. We can extend the sequence $(B_n)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ to an internal sequence indexed by ${}^*\mathcal{N}$. By overflow, we can

find an infinite $\rho \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, with $B_\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$, such that $\lambda_\nu(B_\rho) < \frac{1}{\rho} \simeq 0$ and;

$$\int_{B_\rho} \overline{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu > \epsilon$$

This contradicts (**). Hence, (***) is shown. By real analysis, see [6] Theorem 7.18, the derivative $f_x = ({}^\circ V_x)'$ exists a.e $d\lambda$, $f_x \in L^1([0, 1])$ and;

$${}^\circ[\overline{S}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta)$$

We compute;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_0^1 f(x, s) ds \\ &= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu} {}^\circ \overline{W}^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) dL(\mu_\eta) \\ &= {}^\circ \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu} \overline{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \end{aligned}$$

which is finite, as $\overline{W} \in SL^2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$, hence $f \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times [0, 1])$, thus (*) is shown.

We have that;

$$[\overline{S}]_t \simeq [\overline{X}]_t = \overline{Z}_t \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta)$$

This follows by computing the remainder term $r(x)$ in the proof of Lemma 0.12 and using the fact that \overline{Z} is S -continuous. This last is a consequence of the fact that \overline{X} is S -continuous and $\overline{X}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$, using Theorem 4.2.16 of [1]. Hence, we have;

$${}^\circ[\overline{X}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta) \text{ (***)}$$

Define a new adapted process g by;

$$g(x, t) = f^{\frac{-1}{2}}(x, t) \text{ if } f(x, t) \neq 0, \text{ and } g(x, t) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Let 1_g be the characteristic function of the set $\{(x, t) : g(x, t) = 0\}$. We have that;

$$E(\int_0^1 g(x, s)^2 d^\circ \bar{X}) = E(\int_0^1 g(x, s)^2 f(x, s) ds) \leq 1$$

hence, $g \in L^2(\nu_{\circ[\bar{X}]})$. Let $G \in SL^2(\bar{X})$ be a 2-lifting of g , and 1_G a 2-lifting of 1_g . We can assume that $G \cdot 1_G = 0$. Define;

$$\beta(x, t) = \circ(\int_0^t G(x, s) d\bar{X}(x, s) + \int_0^t 1_G(x, s) d\chi(x, s))$$

Since, G and 1_G have disjoint supports;

$$[\beta](x, t) = \circ[\int G d\bar{X}](x, t) + \circ[\int 1_G d\chi](x, t)$$

$$= \circ(\int G^2 d\bar{X})(x, t) + \circ[\int 1_G dt](x, t)$$

$$= \int_0^t g^2 f ds + \int_0^t 1_g^2 ds = \int_0^t 1 ds = t$$

It follows, using Proposition 4.4.13 and 4.4.18 of [1], this requires that \bar{X} has infinitesimal increments, that β is a Brownian motion, adapted to the filtration $(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{D}_t, L(\mu_\eta))$. We have that $f^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\nu_\beta)$ and;

$$\int f^{\frac{1}{2}} d\beta = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \bar{X} + \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} 1_g d^\circ \chi = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \bar{X}$$

since $f^{\frac{1}{2}} 1_g = 0$. It remains to show that $\circ \bar{X} = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \bar{X}$, since, we then get the result by setting $F = f^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using Doob's inequality;

$$E(\sup_{q \leq 1, q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\circ \bar{X}(q) - \int_0^q f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \bar{X})^2)$$

$$\leq 4E((\circ \bar{X}(1) - \int_0^1 f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \bar{X})^2)$$

$$= 4E(\int_0^1 (1 - f^{\frac{1}{2}} g)^2 d^\circ \bar{X})$$

$$= 4E(\int_0^1 (1 - f^{\frac{1}{2}} g)^2 dt) = 0$$

as $f^{\frac{1}{2}} g = 1$, whenever $f \neq 0$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Sergio Albeverio, Jens Erik Fenstad, Raphael Hoegh-Krohn, Tom Lindstrom, Dover, (2009).

- [2] A Non-Standard Representation for Brownian Motion and Ito Integration, Robert Anderson, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, (1976).
- [3] Nonstandard Construction of the Stochastic Integral and Applications to Stochastic Differential Equations II, Douglas Hoover and Edwin Perkins, *Transactions of the AMS*, (1983).
- [4] Conversion from Nonstandard to Standard Measure Spaces and Applications in Probability Theory, Peter Loeb, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, (1975).
- [5] Applications of Nonstandard Analysis to Probability Theory, Tristram de Piro, M.Sc Dissertation in Financial Mathematics, University of Exeter, (2013).
- [6] Real and Complex Analysis, Walter Rudin, McGraw Hill Third Edition, (1987).
- [7] Stochastic Calculus and Financial Applications, Michael Steele, *Applications of Mathematics*, Springer, (2001).
- [8] Probability with Martingales, David Williams, *Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks*, (1991).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, HARRISON BUILDING, STREATHAM CAMPUS,
UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, NORTH PARK ROAD, EXETER, DEVON, EX4 4QF,
UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `tdpd201@exeter.ac.uk`